

Dypski Park Improvements

October 23, 2020

Question Clarifications

CONTRACT NO. RP17802R

Below are question clarification from addendum number 2, the questions are as follows:

QUESTION 16: Clarify the symbol / item "E. Clerestory framing- see detail photo" in how it is associated with any clerestory openings' improvements?

Addendum Reply: As with all portions of the existing structure, GC is to document the existing and then provide shop drawings describing the intent for re-erection. Applied to the Clerestory, (part of the Existing Historic Structure) it is the exact same process. As per demolition drawings the metal panel covering added in the 1980's is to be demolished. Just like every other part of the existing historic structure, in the shop drawing submittal include framing and details of the Clerestory.

CLARIFICATION: This Clarification can apply to both Questions 16 and 21. Reference the note on A1.1-1.3: "ANY COMPONENTS NOT SALVAGED, DAMAGED, LOST, ETC. ARE THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO ACCOUNT FOR. ANY NEW REPLACEMENTS PARTS MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER." The Clerestory provides a good example of how the awarded contractor may use judgement and means-and-methods to benefit the project and all parties during construction. One approach might be to remove all of the pickets in the clerestory and have them re-created because of the sheer number of them and the relatively low cost-per-item to have them re-created in the shop using a single template. This would be a strategic option might satisfy the Owner while significantly reducing the cost of individual repair/mitigation of the original units. We have attempted to structure the bid so that each contractor has some flexibility to propose improved ways of executing the project.

QUESTION 21: The October 22, 2019 report by ECS confirms the presence of lead in the paint coatings of the pavilion structure. Please confirm that there is no intent to strip or remove the existing paint coatings from the pavilion framing elements, and that the project intent is to encapsulate the lead-containing coatings in the new paint layers?

Addendum Reply: Contractor to comply with all local, state and federal codes when dealing with lead based materials (includes paint). For only those work zone areas on the existing pavilion disturbed by work activities, the existing lead based materials (includes paint) shall be stripped and/or removed prior to proceeding with work; for example, work zone area may be the surface area required to cut a timber beam or the metal hardware connection to be loosened and/or removed. For those existing surfaces to not be disturbed by work activities, these existing lead painted surfaces can remain and be encapsulated with paint; for example, the remaining timber beam length not to be cut. Loose flaking lead paint shall be scraped and removed prior to repainting.

CLARIFICATION: ECS report confirmed the existence of lead based paint for two columns to allow BCRP to perform weld ability test. ARC Environmental's report confirms the presence of lead paint on a sampling of the pavilion's structural components (see page 159 in the contract specifications). The XRF survey showed most of the components with low reading of lead with only two structural components containing lead based materials with XRF survey reading of equal to or greater than 0.8 mg/ cm² (see page 161 in the contract specifications). There will be no further testing performed during the bidding phase for this project. Contractor can hire a third party testing service and include this cost in the lump sum bid.