

CITY OF BALTIMORE

BRANDON M. SCOTT,
Mayor



DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND
PARKS

CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING
2600 Madison Avenue
Baltimore, Maryland 21217

ADDENDUM NO. 1

DATE: March 17, 2021

For
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION/CLARIFICATION

For
PATTERSON PARK ENTRANCE IMPROVEMENTS
CONTRACT NO. RP 20809

FOR THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE

TO BIDDERS: THIS ADDENDUM IS HEREBY MADE A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ON WHICH THE CONTRACT WILL BE BASED, AND IS ISSUED TO MODIFY, EXPLAIN AND/OR CORRECT THE ORIGINAL DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS. PLEASE ATTACH THIS ADDENDUM TO YOUR CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND ACKNOWLEDGE IT ON THE BID OR PROPOSAL PAGE WHERE INDICATED.

APPROVED:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Adam Boarman', written over a horizontal line.

Adam Boarman, RLA
CHIEF OF CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Reginald Moore', written over a horizontal line.

Reginald Moore
DIRECTOR OF RECREATION AND PARKS

PATTERSON PARK ENTRANCE IMPROVEMENTS

March 17, 2021

ADDENDUM NO. 1

CONTRACT NO. RP 20809

Acknowledgement: Acknowledge receipt of this addendum by inserting its number and date on your bid form. This addendum form is a part of the Contract Documents and modifies them as follows:

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION / CLARIFICATION:

QUESTION 1: Sheet L1.1 does not give limits for the silt fence. Should the silt fence be around the entire LOD? If not, please provide the limits of the silt fence for the project.

ANSWER: The silt fence shall be provided around the entire LOD.

QUESTION 2 : There is no detail or information for the modify existing electrical manhole scope. Is the scope for this item to only adjust this structure to match the proposed grade? If we are to do other modifications to this electrical manhole, please provide a detail.

ANSWER: The scope for this item to only adjust this structure to match the proposed grade

QUESTION 3: The stabilized construction entrance is shown to be placed where an existing tree and sidewalk currently is. Are we to include removing and replacing this landscape tree and sidewalk within the limits of the stabilized construction entrance?

ANSWER: Currently there is no street tree planted in the planting bed, no replaced needed for the tree, however, the planting bed needed to be restored to pre-construction condition after all work being completed.

QUESTION 4: Please provide the thickness of the existing asphalt, concrete, and subbases to be removed.

ANSWER: Please refer to the Baltimore City Specification Book for demolition requirements, Contractor could contact BCRP for the permission of performing a core sample from the site.

QUESTION 5: Sheet D1.1 on detail 4 states to see sheet 7/L3.1, and all of the details on this sheet have a reference to L3.1, but this sheet was not provided to us. Could you please provide this sheet or is this a typo?

ANSWER: Please See detail 7/L3.1 on the same sheet, Detail 7 is right next to Detail 4.

QUESTION 6: Will temporary sidewalk pedestrian traffic signage and route be required for the project in order to maintain pedestrian traffic?

ANSWER: This will based on the DOT ROW permit requirement, signage shall be provided based on Traffic Control Plan which shall be provided by contractors.

QUESTION 7: Are there two different types of pavers required for the project? The spec only calls for one type of paver (asphalt block) but the plan legend has two different paver callouts (16 and 17 on sheet L1.1). Please provide the limits for the asphalt block pavers and the recycled yellow brick pavers. Please provide a specification for the recycled yellow brick pavers.

ANSWER: Yes, No 16 is referring to asphalt pavers; No 17 is referring to the recycled yellow bricks.

PATTERSON PARK ENTRANCE IMPROVEMENTS

March 17, 2021

ADDENDUM NO. 1

CONTRACT NO. RP 20809

QUESTION 8: Sheet L1.1 calls out to modify the existing ADA ramp, but does not show a new ADA ramp for the proposed area. There appears to be two existing ADA detectable warning surfaces for this corner and we are disturbing one. Are we to install new detectable warning surface(s) in this area? Please show the location(s) on the plan if so.

ANSWER: ADA Ramp shall be modified at the same location of existing ADA ramp, no DWDM shall be disturbed for this area.

QUESTION 9: The existing columns and archs are to remain in place undisturbed, is that correct?

ANSWER: Correct, the existing columns and archs shall not be disturbed.

QUESTION 9: Is the existing lighting pedestal to be removed a BGE city pole or a private pole? Is it active and need to be deenergized? If it is owned by BGE, they would need to deenergize this pole prior to removal. Who's responsibility would it be to call and pay BGE to deenergize this light pole prior to removal?

ANSWER: Yes the pedestal light is owned by BCPR, it still active and controlled by photocell, contractor shall contact to BCPR Facility Maintenance division to get it deenergized prior to the construction.

QUESTION 10: It appears part of the metal fence section 32 31 19 is missing. Please provide a specification for the materials and manufacturer for the hairpin fence.

ANSWER: Contractor shall follow the plans and specs to submit shop drawings to engineer for review.